

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JUL 21 PM 12:10
Clerk's Office File Stamp

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
John Houston

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: E. Lloyd, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE 7/17/08 DEPUTY
CASE NO.: 08cv859-JAH (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Alvin Hennington
CASE TITLE: Hennington v. US Customs and Border Protection
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Amended Complaint

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
X		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		<u>OTHER: Not in pleading format; on Superior Court forms; unknown document</u>

Date forwarded: 7/17/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:



The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Houston

Dated: 7/18/08
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

REJECTED

PLD-PI-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Alvin Hennington JR. 5170 Clairmont mesa BLVD unit 4 San Diego, Ca. 92117-1465 TELEPHONE NO. 1858495-0695 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): [IN PROPER]		FILED FOR COURT USE ONLY CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 7 CENTRAL DIVISION 08 APR 20 PM 5:29 CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: 330 W. Broadway CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, Ca. 92101 BRANCH NAME: HALL OF JUSTICE/CENTRAL		
PLAINTIFF: Alvin Hennington JR. DEFENDANT: San Diego Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation, U.S. Customs and Border Protection <input type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO		
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDED (Number): 1 Type (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER (specify): violation of conflict of interest Federal Law <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful Death 185205, 216, 448, 3549 <input type="checkbox"/> Personal Injury <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Damages (specify): Mental Health Damages		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded <input type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited		CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00081249- CU-CR-CTL

1. Plaintiff (name or names): **Alvin Hennington JR.**

Alvin Hennington JR. alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): **San Diego Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation, U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages:

3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult

a. ☐ except plaintiff (name):

- (1) ☐ a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) ☐ a public entity (describe):
- (4) ☐ a minor ☐ an adult
 - (a) ☐ for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) ☐ other (specify):
- (5) ☐ other (specify):

b. ☐ except plaintiff (name):

- (1) ☐ a corporation qualified to do business in California
- (2) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):
- (3) ☐ a public entity (describe):
- (4) ☐ a minor ☐ an adult
 - (a) ☐ for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed
 - (b) ☐ other (specify):
- (5) ☐ other (specify):

☐ Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3.